LOVELAND FIRE RESCUE ADVISORY COMMISSION
Wednesday, September 9, 2020 – 6:30 p.m.
Development Center
Emergency Operations Center (EOC), 2nd Floor
410 E. 5th St., Loveland, CO 80537

FRAC Attendees:
In Person: Paul Pfeiffer, Chief Miller, Chief Mirowski, Chief Cerovski,
Via Zoom: Chairman Smela, Elton Bingham, Councilman John Fogle, Chief Ward, Leo Wotan, Chief Sparks
Absent: Shane Castro

Janet Bailey has resigned her position on FRAC, she will be greatly missed and her work is very much appreciated. 2007 – 2020. The FRAC Committee would like to do something to recognize her years of service, maybe a plaque.

1) Approval of meeting minutes: presented by Chairman Smela
a) Minutes from July 8, 2020 was approved as submitted

2) LFRA Staff update on the 2021 Proposed Budget: presented by Chief Miller
a) Showed the most recent conceptual drawing of Station 10 and gave an update on design progress
b) 2021 Proposed Budget was discussed in the City Council Study Session on 9/8/20
   i) Councilman Fogle gave a brief overview of the City Council Study Session
   ii) Council would like to see the impact of the budget cuts to the various departments, not just budget numbers.
c) 2021 Budget Proposal Summary
   i) Overall 1.9 Million of budget reductions in 2020 that will carry forward to 2021
   ii) 1.9 Million includes unfunded needs, and (3) budget reductions in 2020
   iii) 2021 Budget is 2.1% less that the reduced 2020 budget
   iv) Decision package for salary adjustments would make up the 14% that LFRA is behind in pay for the region average
   v) Insurance increases will be shared equally in 2021, increase is assumed to be 5.5%

3) History of LFRA Discussion: presented by Retired Chief Randy Mirowski
a) Rural Board Chairman Jeff Swanyt will present at the next meeting
b) Chief Mirowski gave an overview on:
i) How LFRA followed the PFA model (PFA was the 1st Fire Authority in the area)
ii) Discussion on how PFA and LFRA models are similar
iii) Revenue Allocation Formula (RAF) was based on call load for PFA, and that is what LFRA adopted as well
   (1) At the time when this was being evaluated, PFA had more commercial buildings and operation in their Rural district than LFRA
   (2) When you build more commercial buildings your call load has the potential to increase
   (3) This may be a study to conduct regarding 2534 expansion
iv) LFRA looked at a 20 year range of call loads to find what the rural v. city call loads were. In looking at the numbers the rural calls were trending down and the percentages were based on the trending numbers.
v) Numbers were based on the trending call load numbers as well as what the Rural District could afford based on their Mill Levy
vi) These percentages were never meant to be set in stone but were meant to be revisited.
vii) Discussed how calls could be quantified for the calculation of call loads

4) **FRAC Sub-Committee Discussion and Assignments**
   a) We will discuss this more at the next meeting following the next fact finding presentation
   b) Discussed timeline restrictions for this project

5) **Public Comment (if applicable):** No public comment

6) **Schedule Next Meeting and Agenda:** October 14,2020 @ 5:30p

7) **Motion to Adjourn:** Meeting adjourned at 8:09p by Chairman Smela

Minutes respectfully documented by Kristi Coleman