LOVELAND FIRE RESCUE ADVISORY COMMISSION
Wednesday, December 9, 2020 – 5:30 p.m.
Meeting was held virtually

FRAC Attendees:
Attended: Jon Smela, Paul Pfeiffer, Elton Bingham, Leo Wotan, John Fogle, Mark Miller, Michael Cerovski, Greg Ward, Ned Sparks, Dan Walsh
Absent: Andy Anderson, Shane Castro

1. Approval of meeting minutes: Presented by Chairman Smela
   a. Minutes from November 18, 2020 approved as submitted

2. Chief’s Update: Presented by Chief Miller
   a. Thanks to Janet Bailey for 13 years.
      i. A Plaque was presented for Janet’s years of service
   b. Cameron Peak Fire Update:
      i. 100% contained
   c. COVID LFRA Update
      i. 7 positive cases (6 since Oct/Nov)
      ii. 1100 Hours of missed time due to COVID
      iii. Vaccinations – LFRA is 1B Tier
   d. ISO Evaluation
      i. LFRA will maintain an ISO Class 2, 3 and 10 based on location
   e. 6A & 6B Passed – 2A Failed & LFRA Capital Needs/Issues
      i. New opposition to de-galagarizing (Rising Colorado group)
      ii. Station 3 – health and safety analysis is underway by the City of Loveland.
         1. The City of Loveland is working on mitigating some asbestos and is working on plans for the other issues
      iii. Station 5 – problematic functionality
      iv. Station 4 – airport staffing
   f. Potential Inclusions into the Rural District
      i. Pinewood lake HOA – Petition for inclusion goes to the HOA in March
      ii. Upper filing of Storm Mountain
      iii. Buckskin Heights

3. Discuss LFRA Call Data and Analysis: Presented by Chief Cerovski
   a. PowerPoint Presentation (see attached to these minutes)
      i. Discussed who the stakeholders are
      ii. Demographics within LFRA
      iii. Types of Agency Data
         1. Agency Data - service delivery
         2. Response Data – Performance Outputs/Outcomes
         3. Revenue Allocation Data / Performance Measurement Data
iv. How data is collected
   1. Reviewed the 4 V’s
   2. Sources we collect data from

v. Data points
vi. Philosophical points
   1. How is Equity viewed?
   2. Process flow
   3. Future Vision of Fire Protection Services
   4. What does the City bring to the table
   5. What does the Rural District bring to the table
   6. What happens if we are not an Authority
   7. Discussion

b. Discussion
   i. Clarification on data points
   ii. Clarification of City Administrative Services provided
   iii. Request for more detail on Unit Utilization
   iv. Discussed a Public Safety Tax

4. FRAC Work Project Next Steps: Presented by Chairman Smela
   a. Short term, medium term and long-term plans and discussion

5. Public Comment (if applicable): No public comment

6. Schedule Next Meeting and Agenda: January 13, 2020 @ 5:30

7. Motion to Adjourn: Meeting adjourned at: 7:31p by Chairman Smela

Minutes respectfully documented by Kristi Coleman
Today’s Agenda

• Who are our Stakeholders
• Why we Collect Data
• What Data is Collected
• How Data is Collected / Processed
• Current Scope of Data Points
• Philosophical Discussion
• Comments/Discussion/Staff Expectations
Stakeholders & Why

- Citizenry - Customers
- City of Loveland
- Loveland Rural Fire Protection District
- Insurance Services Organization
- 3rd Party – Accreditation
- Property and Liability Insurance
- Worker Compensation Insurance
What - Demographics

• Baseline Data - Demographic information
  – People (race, sex, age, households
  – Work Sectors (commercial, residential, industrial, tourism/recreational)
  – Risk Analysis (service needs, emergency preparedness, risk reduction)
What - Agency Data

- Agency Data – Service Delivery
  - Agency geographical
  - Agency readiness
  - Risk Response
  - Agency SWOT – Analysis and Planning
  - Benchmark Data – 3rd Party, ISO, NFPA, Federal Regulations, Local Regulations

- Response Data – Performance Outputs/Outcomes
  - Call Processing Time
  - Turnout Time
  - Travel Time
  - Total Response Time and FD Response Time
  - Total Scene Time (Commit Time)
  - Unit Utilization
  - Predictive Analysis and Readiness

- Revenue Allocation Data / Performance Measurement Data
  - Data measurements that equate to revenue distribution
  - Data measurements required by ISO, Accreditation, Performance Measurement, liability risk
  - Citizen satisfaction / reduction of loss
Other Considerations
- Human Error
- Evaluation / Analysis
- Time
- Efficiency
- Human resource
How we Collect

• Larimer County and City of Loveland
  – Property Values
  – Some Demographics

• Computer Aided Dispatch

• Records Management

• Baseline Strategies

• Benchmark Accomplishments
Data Points

- **Assessed Value**
  - City – 76%
  - Rural – 24%

- **Population**
  - City – 74%
  - Rural 26%

- **Incident Response**
  - City – 83%
  - Rural – 17%

- **Unit Utilization**
  - City – 75%
  - Rural – 25%

- **Facilities – Station Location**
  - City – 70%
  - Rural – 30%

- **Board Makeup**
  - City – 60%
  - Rural – 40%

- **Current Individual Cost**
  - City - $185
  - Rural - $120

**Historical challenge - Veracity**
Philosophical

• How do YOU/WE view Equity

• Why do we View Equity in different ways
  – Analytical
  – Structural
  – Conceptual
  – Social

• Process Flow

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expectations</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community/Organization</td>
<td>Money</td>
<td>Benchmarks</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Future Vision of Fire Protection Services
• **What does the City bring to the Table?**
  Revenue - 82%
  9.56 MIL
  3% Sales Tax
  *Impact Fees
  – Administrative Services
  – Response resources / Response depth

• **What does the Rural bring to the Table?**
  Revenue – 18%
  8.708 MIL
  *Impact Fees
  – Johnstown 25/34
  – Rural response readiness (wildland)
Philosophical - Question

• **What happens if we ARE NOT an Authority?**

  City Impacts

Rural Impacts
• This is our Current Data Focus

• Questions
  – Future Vision of Fire Protection Services
  – Transactional versus Transformational
    • Contractual versus Outcome

• Discussion